Dynamic Small-Scale Chamber Emissions Testing

Compliance Report per California Department of Public Health Standard Method Version 1.1





Solar Gard® Safety Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive

(Exemplar for Partial Line Bracketing)

Prepared for:



4540 Viewridge Avenue San Diego, CA 92123

Submitted by: Materials Analytical Services, LLC

3945 Lakefield Court Suwanee, Georgia 30024



December 21, 2012

MAS Project No: 1201748



December 21, 2012

Miguel Detres Technical Service Representative Solar Gard 8575 A Somerset Drive Largo, FL 33773



Subject: Dynamic Small-Scale Chamber Emissions Testing

Compliance Report per California Department of Public Health Standard Method

Version 1.1

Solar Gard® Safety Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive

MAS Project No.: 1201748

Dear Mr. Detres:

Materials Analytical Services, LLC (MAS) is pleased to submit this report for emissions testing relative to potential VOC off-gassing from a sample of Safety Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive submitted in December 2012. This report summarizes our testing procedures and the results of our analytical measurements.

This project was conducted in general accordance with the emission testing guidelines specified under ASTM D 5116-10. Specific testing parameters and VOC emission limits were based on the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) Standard Method for the Testing and Evaluation of Volatile Organic Chemical Emissions from Indoor Sources Using Environmental Test Chambers Version 1.1.

Based on the test results summarized herein, the Safety Film with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive is compliant with the performance standard established for low-emitting wall systems under the Collaborative for High Performance Schools (CHPS) and the LEED 2009 for Schools programs. As such, qualified project uses of this product and Window Film with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive and Clear Dry Adhesive products bracketed under this test (see Appendix B) may be eligible for credit points under the CHPS and LEED programs. Further, by successful conformance with the CHPS & LEED standards, the tested and bracketed products also meet the criteria of MAS Certified Green® Program.

MAS is pleased to have been of service to you. If you have any questions or comments, or if we can be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

MATERIALS ANALYTICAL SERVICES, LLC

Robert D. Schmitter

Manager, Emissions Group

William R. Stapleton Senior Chemist

Williank Stopher

Appendix A – Chain-of-Custody Appendices:

Appendix B – List of Compliant Solar Gard[®] Window Treatments

Appendix C – General Testing Parameters and Data



COMPLIANCE EMISSIONS TEST

California Department of Public Health Standard Method Version 1.1 Window Film and Adhesive Evaluation

MAS Project No.: 1201748

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION & TESTING PARAMETERS

Solar Gard® of San Diego, California submitted an exemplar of their Safety Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive to MAS for emissions testing (refer to photos below).

The sample was delivered to our Suwanee, Georgia office in a sealed, airtight Mylar bag shipped within an outer cardboard box. The manufacturer and sample specifics as described in the accompanying chain-of custody (see Appendix A) and a timeline of milestones dates relative to sampling and analysis are summarized below.

| Product Name: Safety Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive | MAS Assigned ID: 1201748 |
|---|---|
| Manufacturer: Solar Gard® 4540 Viewridge Avenue San Diego, CA 92123 | Product Description: clear polyester film layer with pressure sensitive adhesive |
| Manufacture Date: December 2, 2012 | Testing Period: Dec. 6 - 20, 2012 |
| Collection Date: December 4, 2012 | In-Chamber Sampling Dates: Dec. 17 @ 24 hrs, Dec. 18 @ 48 hrs, and Dec. 20 @ 96 hrs |
| Shipping Date: December 4, 2012 | Date of Sample Analysis: December 19 – 20, 2012 |
| Laboratory Arrival Date: December 5, 2012 | |



Solar Gard® Safety Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive as tested



SAMPLE HANDLING & EMISSIONS TESTING

The window film and adhesive sample was prepared for testing by cutting a 15 cm x 15 cm section from the submitted roll. The film's protective coating was peeled away from the film, and the film was applied to a clean glass plate according to instructions submitted by Solar Gard[®]. The plate was placed inside one of MAS's small (53 liter) stainless steel emissions chambers and positioned on the floor in the center of the chamber to facilitate even air circulation around the sample.

Off-gassed emissions from the subject sample were sampled and analyzed in general accordance with ASTM D 5116-10 Standard Guide for Small-Scale Environmental Chamber Determinations of Organic Emissions from Indoor Materials/Products. The specific operations for sample conditioning, collection of samples and analysis of compounds of interest were conducted in accordance with the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) Standard Method for the Testing and Evaluation of Volatile Organic Chemical Emissions from Indoor Sources Using Environmental Chambers Version 1.1, for comparison to the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) for Schools standard, and the Collaborative for High Performance Schools (CHPS) criteria for Low Emitting Materials; and MAS Certified Green® Program standard chamber emissions testing procedures. General testing parameters and data are presented in Appendix C.

TESTING RESULTS

In order to compare the chamber-derived data to the standards established under CDPH Standard Method Version 1.1 and the CHPS criteria for Low Emitting Materials an emission concentration for the tested sample is calculated based on the 96 hour test data collected following ten days of in-chamber conditioning. This emission concentration is then modeled to the defined parameters of that product in typical school classroom and private office environments accounting for the specified room sizes and ventilation rates.

CDPH modeling parameters define a typical classroom as having a total window surface area of 4.46 square meters, and a typical private office as having a total window surface area of 1.49 square meters. For purposes of this report, a typical application was assumed to be the total window surface area. The results of the modeling data are presented in Table I.

Table I

Emission Factors and Predicted 96-Hour Airborne Concentrations for the Solar Gard® Safety Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive in Typical Building Environments

| VOC Name | Calculated Emission Factor (µg/m²/hr) | | rne Concentration/m³) | Reference OEHHA ½ CREL Values in | Testing Comment |
|----------------------|---|------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 96 th hour (4 days) | Classroom* | Private Office** | μg/m³ | |
| Total VOCs (TVOC) | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.1 | NA | NA/NA |
| formaldehyde | <3.6 | <1.8 | <5.8 | 9 | PASS/PASS |
| acetaldehyde | 3.5 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 70 | PASS/PASS |
| isopropanol | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 3500 | PASS/PASS |
| 1,1-dichloroethylene | <3.1 | <1.6 | < 5.0 | 35 | PASS/PASS |



| methylene chloride | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 200 | PASS/PASS |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|------|------|-----------|
| carbon disulfide | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 400 | PASS/PASS |
| MTBE | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 4000 | PASS/PASS |
| vinyl acetate | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 100 | PASS/PASS |
| hexane | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 3500 | PASS/PASS |
| chloroform | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 150 | PASS/PASS |
| 2-methoxyethanol | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 30 | PASS/PASS |
| 1,1,1-trichloroethane | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 500 | PASS/PASS |
| benzene | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 30 | PASS/PASS |
| 1-methoxy-2-propanol | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 3500 | PASS/PASS |
| carbon tetrachloride | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 20 | PASS/PASS |
| 1,4-dioxane | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 1500 | PASS/PASS |
| trichloroethylene | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 300 | PASS/PASS |
| epichlorohydrin | < 0.80 | < 0.40 | <1.3 | 1.5 | PASS/PASS |
| 2-ethoxyethanol | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 35 | PASS/PASS |
| n,n-dimethylformamide | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 40 | PASS/PASS |
| toluene | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 150 | PASS/PASS |
| 2-methoxyethanol acetate | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 45 | PASS/PASS |
| tetrachloroethylene | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 17.5 | PASS/PASS |
| chlorobenzene | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 500 | PASS/PASS |
| ethylbenzene | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 1000 | PASS/PASS |
| m & p-xylene | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 350 | PASS/PASS |
| styrene | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 450 | PASS/PASS |
| o-xylene | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 350 | PASS/PASS |
| phenol | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 100 | PASS/PASS |
| 1,4-dichlorobenzene | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 400 | PASS/PASS |
| isophorone | <3.1 | <1.6 | <5.0 | 1000 | PASS/PASS |
| naphthalene | <1.6 | <0.81 | <2.6 | 4.5 | PASS/PASS |

^{*} Assumes two classroom windows, one 4' \times 4' and one 4' \times 8', in a classroom with total volume of $231m^3$ and a ventilation rate of $0.82~h^{-1}$ as defined by CDPH/EHLB/Standard Method V.1.1

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the emissions test data, MAS offers the following findings and conclusions:

- Predicted 14-day air concentrations of all CDPH target compounds in both a classroom and private office environment are **below** the specified California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) ½ CREL limits (Table I).
- Based on the findings summarized in Table I, the film and adhesive manufactured by Solar Gard[®] is compliant with the performance standards established for low-emitting materials

^{**} Assumes a private office volume of $30.6m^3$ with one 4' x 4' window and a ventilation rate of $0.68~h^{-1}$ as defined by CDPH/EHLB/Standard Method V.1.1



under the Collaborative for High Performance Schools (CHPS) and the LEED for Schools programs. As such, qualified project uses of the Safety Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive and all bracketed window treatments (Appendix B) may be eligible for credit points under CHPS EQ2.2.6 for Ceiling and Wall Systems and 1 credit point under the LEED 2009 for Schools standard IEQ 4.6 for Ceiling and Wall Systems under. Further, by successful conformance with the CHPS & LEED standards, the subject product also meets the criteria of MAS Certified Green® Program.

LIMITATIONS

This report is intended for the use of Solar Gard[®] only. If other parties wish to rely on this report, please have them contact us so that a mutual understanding and agreement of the terms and conditions for our services can be established prior to their use of this information. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Materials Analytical Services, LLC.

It should be noted that emissions generally decay over time; as such the representativeness of the analytical data reported is directly dependant upon the age and conditions under which the tested sample was received.

All MAS-issued certifications for product emissions testing are valid for a period of one year from the date of this report. Compliance certifications are strictly limited to <u>only</u> the referenced product tested and/or specific variations explicitly referenced in this report.

APPENDIX A

Chain-of-Custody



Materials Analytical

Services LLC 3945 Lakefield Court Suwanee, Georgia 30024 Phone: 770-866-3200 Fax: 770-866-3259



Standard Method (section 01350)

Emission Testing Chain-of-Custody

| Client Information |
|---|
| Company: Solar Gard® |
| Street Address: 4540 Viewridge Avenue |
| City/State: San Diego, CA |
| Zip/Postal Code: 92123 |
| Country: United States |
| Contact Name: Miguel Detres |
| Title: Technical Services Representative |
| Phone Number: O 727-437-1025 M 813-760-9026 |
| Fax Number: 727-437-1002 |
| Email Address: miguel.detres@saint-gobain.com |

| Manufacturer Information (if different than client) | 100 |
|---|-----|
| Company: Same as above | |
| City/State/Country: | |
| Contact Name/Title: | |
| Phone Number: | |

| Sample Details |
|---|
| Unique Sample ID (if applicable): Solar Gard Safety Pressure Sensitive Adhesi |
| Product Name & Catalog #: Solar Gard |
| Product Type: Ceiling/Wall Panels a, Flooring a, Trim a, Wall Paint a, Wall Coverings Thermal Insulation a, Adhesives a, Ceiling Tiles a, Other X |
| Date of Product Manufacturing Completion: 12-2-2012 |
| Sample Location: Factory D, Warehouse D, Production Stack/Roll X, Container D |
| Sample Submitted by: Miguel Detres |
| Date of Sample Shipment : 12-4-12 |
| Number of Boxes or Pallets: 1 |

| Shipping Details | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Packed By: Jose Pichardo | | | |
| Shipping Date: | | | |
| Carrier/Airbill Number: | | | |

| Testing Specifications (per MAS) check appropriate test below | SVIET |
|---|-------|
| R&D (custom): Specify Details | |
| 24-hour Comparative R&D Test | |
| 72-hour Comparative R&D Test | |
| X 14-day CDPH Compliance Test | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| Construction Details (as applicable) | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Covering Type: Fabric (Primary Fiber type: |), Vinyl o, Leather o | | |
| Plastic Type(s): Nylon o, PVC o, PE o, PP o, PU o, PS | a, PC a, ABS a, Acrylic a, Lexan a | | |
| Substrate Type(s): MDF a, Particle Board a, Plywood a | a, Solid Wood a, Other a | | |
| Outer Finish Type(s): Oil Base a, Water Base a, Cataly Plastic Laminatea, Melamine a, UV | | | |
| Foam Type: Polyurethane a, Memory a, Latex a, Evlon | a, High Reslience a, High Density a | | |
| Paint Type: Latex a, Oil a, Low VOC a, No VOCs a, Po | owderCoat n, Chrome n | | |

Special Notes or Comments from Manufacturer:

| Laboratory Receipt (| to be completed by Laboratory Representative |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Received By: | Soul |
| Received Date: | AS/IL |
| Condition of Shipping Package | OIC |
| Condition of Sample: | al |
| Remarks: | |

| | | Sample Handling | | |
|-----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|
| Relinguished By | Company | Received By | Company | Date/Time |
| | | Sear | MAS | 15-2-11 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

APPENDIX B

List of Bracketed CHPS and LEED Compliant Solar Gard® Window Treatments

<u>Qualified Products</u> *Effective January 2013 through January 2014*

- Solar Gard® Safety Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive*
- Solar Gard® Window Films with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive
- Solar Gard[®] Window Films with Clear Dry Adhesive

^{*} The Solar Gard® Safety Film with Pressure Sensitive Adhesive was tested as a "worst case" product for the bracketing of the other Solar Gard® products listed above based on previous emissions testing and a review of the manufacturer's product information.

APPENDIX C

GENERAL TESTING PARAMETERS AND DATA

Under the provisions of the testing method referenced in this report, testing consisted of the following procedural steps:

- Specific procedures for specimen receiving, handling, and preparation.
- Storage of test specimens in original shipping containers prior to emissions testing for up to 10 days in a ventilated and conditioned room maintained at a temperature of $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and a relative humidity of $50\% \pm 15\%$.
- For quality assurance purposes the emission chamber was purged and the interior thoroughly cleaned to remove residual compounds prior to all new product tests. In addition, air samples were collected and analyzed from the chamber exhaust prior to loading to establish background levels.
- Collection of air samples at method-specified intervals from the chamber exhaust port utilizing mass flow controllers calibrated at 200 cc/min for VOCs and at 300 cc/min for aldehydes.
- Tenax TA® tubes (drawn in duplicate) are used for VOC analysis which is performed by thermal desorption gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (TD-GC/MS) using a modified EPA TO-17 method. Samples are also collected on DNPH tubes for aldehyde analysis which is performed using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a modified NIOSH 2016 method.
- Instrument calibration, analysis of quality control samples and quantitation of the of the CDPH target list of 35 chemicals of concern.
- Reporting and speciation of top 10 tentatively identified compounds.

The operational parameters for the small emission chamber utilized for this project included:

| Parameter | Symbol | Units | Value |
|-------------------------|--------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Chamber Volume | V | m^3 | 0.053 |
| Loading Factor | L | unit/ m ³ | 0.425 |
| Air Exchange Rate | a | h ⁻¹ | 1.0 <u>+</u> 0.05 |
| Area Specific Flow Rate | q_A | m h ⁻¹ | 2.4 |
| Temperature | T | °C | 23 <u>+</u> 1 |
| Relative Humidity | RH | % | 50 <u>+</u> 5 |

The emissions testing protocol was designed to measure the release of volatile organic compounds from a given material over time. The results of the emissions testing are summarized in the tables presented on the following pages. The actual emissions measured are characterized as a concentration in micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu g/m^3$) and as an emission factor in micrograms emitted per square meter of material per hour ($\mu g/m^2/hr$).

Total volatile organic compounds (TVOC) are defined as the compounds eluting between hexane $(n-C_5)$ and hexadecane $(n-C_{17})$ and in this protocol quantified as toluene (note that there are no specific TVOC limits specified under CDPH). There were no measured concentrations of total volatile organic compounds (TVOC) obtained at the three sampling intervals.

The measured concentrations of formaldehyde and acetaldehyde obtained at each of the three sampling intervals are presented in Table B-II.

Table B-II
Formaldehyde and Acetaldehyde Concentrations as Measured by HPLC

| Sample ID# | Sample Interval in hours | Target Compound | Concentration in µg/m³ | Emission Factor in μg/m² h |
|------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1201748 | 24 | Formaldehyde | <1.5 | <3.6 |
| | 48 | Formaldehyde | <1.5 | <3.6 |
| | 96 | Formaldehyde | <1.5 | <3.6 |
| | 24 | Acetaldehyde | <1.4 | <3.3 |
| | 48 | Acetaldehyde | 2.9 | 6.9 |
| | 96 | Acetaldehyde | 1.5 | 3.5 |

No individual volatile organic compounds (IVOC), other than those reported as a requirement of the CDPH Standard Method Version 1.1 in Table I in the body of this report, were identified by GC/MS in quantities above detection limits after 96 hours of off-gassing from the tested product.